The question now must be asked is if all Americans should chip in to provide health care for the least among us.

This week, the United States Supreme Court began hearing arguments on the fate of President Barack Obama/s historic health care overall.

This milestone legislation that was signed into law two years ago is no less contentious than it was then after Democrats pushed it to passage in Congress.

And twenty four months later, 26 states are leading the legal challenge, while Republican presidential candidates are vowing to repeal it after throwing Obama out of office.

The law, much of which has still to take effect, would require almost all Americans to obtain health insurance and would extend coverage to more than 30 million people who now lack it. The law would be the largest expansion in the nation's social safety net in more than 40 years.

A decision by the High Court is expected to be made by June; just months away from the November presidential election.

No less than President's Obama is at stake in this high stakes legal wrangling.

The vast majority of Americans who have been polled overwhelmingly disapprove of so-called Obama Care.

They see it as an infringement and a violation of the Constitution.

Those in the minority see the health care plan as a Godsend for those underinsured and those without insurance due to pre-existing conditions.

Somewhere in between a consensus must be met.

It is where the Supreme Court will make a ruling that will lead to a consensus of strike down the law.

No one doubts that the cost of health care has skyrocketed in recent years.

The question now must be asked is if all Americans should chip in to provide health care for the least among us.

Only time will tell.

We await the decision from the Supreme Court.